# ChemtrailsGeelong.com Generic Letters to Government

- Simply choose your letter (read carefully), print those pages only, fill in details and send away.

## **Contents**

Example Letter (Do Not Use)p	g. 2
Generic Letter to MP - [A] (for those not attaching a lab report)* p	g. 3
Generic Letter to MP - [B] (for those attaching a lab report)**pp. 4	&5
Generic Letter to Minister - [A] (for those not attaching a lab report)*p	g. 6
Generic Letter to Minister - [B] (for those attaching a lab report)**pp. 7	<b>'&amp;</b> 8

<sup>\*</sup>Fill in your own address and the address and name of the member of parliament (MP) or minister you are writing at the top of the letter (see Example Letter) and print and sign your name at the bottom.

<sup>\*\*</sup>As above but remember also to fill in the table at the end of the letter according to your own lab report.

### EXAMPLE LETTER ONLY DO NOT USE

[Date]

[Your address here]

[Recipient's address here]

### **ATTENTION:** [Name of Member of Parliament or Minister]

This is a generic letter written on behalf of a growing number of Greater Geelong residents including myself, concerned about what we perceive to be happening in our skies of late, and the effect this may be having on the quality of our air/rainwater, not to mention the general health and well-being of those who reside under same skies – among them, your constituents.

In particular we would like to draw your attention to eyewitness accounts of *extensive aerosol spraying* from jets, commercial and/or otherwise, and its effects, of which those documented at ChemtrailsGeelong.com for example, are typical. Specifically we are concerned about the possible use of nano-particulates of oxides, salts and elemental forms of toxic metals such as Aluminium, Barium and Strontium for example, in the observed aerosol spraying. Please refer carefully to said website for an idea of the substance of our concerns.

Simply stated, we wish to be assured or confirmed one way or the other about this issue, and we trust you will agree, the only way to go beyond mere speculation is to conduct proper tests according to sound scientific principles.

We note that the CSIRO has published a report entitled "New insights to the chemical and isotopic composition of rainfall across Australia" (June 2012) detailing compositional analysis of rainwater samples taken from a wide variety of locations across Australia, and conducted over a period of five years from 2007 to 2011 inclusive. May we suggest that the same tests (in particular those for rainwater *chemistry* only), be conducted over a similar length of time, but this time with the inclusion of Barium also as an analyte and Greater Geelong specifically as the location, and with results published quarterly online for easy and regular access and perusal by the Greater Geelong public.

Critically, a corresponding and ongoing test could also be conducted by the EPA for air quality.

The above mentioned CSIRO document makes it clear that at least in so far as rainwater testing goes the collection equipment is particularly simple and inexpensive (see pg. 11), the task not labour intensive, and our own inquiries into the (NATA accredited) lab end of the process reveal that this too is not a prohibitively expensive procedure for tests for soluble metals. In fact many of us intend to collect our own samples, and obtain and publish such test results for them at our own expense, so that, in addition to informing ourselves, these tests may be compared against any findings of government.

Please do all that you can on behalf of the people of Greater Geelong to bring this matter to the attention of Parliament so that public resources might be allocated for the purpose outlined above.

Yours Sincerely,

[Print And Sign Your Name Here]

This is a generic letter written on behalf of a growing number of Greater Geelong residents including myself, concerned about what we perceive to be happening in our skies of late, and the effect this may be having on the quality of our air/rainwater, not to mention the general health and well-being of those who reside under same skies – among them, your constituents.

In particular we would like to draw your attention to eyewitness accounts of *extensive aerosol spraying* from jets, commercial and/or otherwise, and its effects, of which those documented at ChemtrailsGeelong.com for example, are typical. Specifically we are concerned about the possible use of nano-particulates of oxides, salts and elemental forms of toxic metals such as Aluminium, Barium and Strontium for example, in the observed aerosol spraying. Please refer carefully to said website for an idea of the substance of our concerns.

Simply stated, we wish to be assured or confirmed one way or the other about this issue, and we trust you will agree, the only way to go beyond mere speculation is to conduct proper tests according to sound scientific principles.

We note that the CSIRO has published a report entitled "New insights to the chemical and isotopic composition of rainfall across Australia" (June 2012) detailing compositional analysis of rainwater samples taken from a wide variety of locations across Australia, and conducted over a period of five years from 2007 to 2011 inclusive. May we suggest that the same tests (in particular those for rainwater *chemistry* only), be conducted over a similar length of time, but this time with the inclusion of Barium also as an analyte and Greater Geelong specifically as the location, and with results published quarterly online for easy and regular access and perusal by the Greater Geelong public.

Critically, a corresponding and ongoing test could also be conducted by the EPA for air quality.

The above mentioned CSIRO document makes it clear that at least in so far as rainwater testing goes the collection equipment is particularly simple and inexpensive (see pg. 11), the task not labour intensive, and our own inquiries into the (NATA accredited) lab end of the process reveal that this too is not a prohibitively expensive procedure for tests for soluble metals. In fact many of us intend to collect our own samples, and obtain and publish such test results for them at our own expense, so that, in addition to informing ourselves, these tests may be compared against any findings of government.

Please do all that you can on behalf of the people of Greater Geelong to bring this matter to the attention of Parliament so that public resources might be allocated for the purpose outlined above.

This is a generic letter written on behalf of a growing number of Greater Geelong residents including myself, concerned about what we perceive to be happening in our skies of late, and the effect this may be having on the quality of our air/rainwater, not to mention the general health and well-being of those who reside under same skies – among them, your constituents.

In particular we would like to draw your attention to eyewitness accounts of *extensive* aerosol spraying from jets, commercial and/or otherwise, and its effects, of which those documented at ChemtrailsGeelong.com for example, are typical. Specifically we are concerned about the possible use of oxides, salts and elemental forms of toxic metals such as Aluminium, Barium and Strontium for example, in the observed aerosol spraying. Please refer carefully to said website for an idea of the substance of our concerns.

Simply stated, we wish to be assured or confirmed one way or the other about this issue, and we trust you will agree, *the only way to go beyond mere speculation is to conduct proper tests according to sound scientific principles*.

We note that the CSIRO has published a report entitled "New insights to the chemical and isotopic composition of rainfall across Australia" (June 2012) detailing compositional analysis of rainwater samples taken from a wide variety of locations across Australia, and conducted over a period of five years from 2007 to 2011 inclusive. May we suggest that the same tests (in particular those for rainwater *chemistry* only), be conducted over a similar length of time, but this time with the inclusion of Barium also as an analyte and Greater Geelong specifically as the location, and with results published quarterly online for easy and regular access and perusal by the Greater Geelong public.

Critically, a corresponding and ongoing test could also be conducted by the EPA for air quality.

The above mentioned CSIRO document makes it clear that at least in so far as rainwater testing goes the collection equipment is particularly simple and inexpensive (see pg. 11), the task not labour intensive, and our own inquiries into the (NATA accredited) lab end of the process reveal that this too is not a prohibitively expensive procedure for tests for soluble metals. In fact many of us intend to collect our own rainwater samples, and obtain and publish the results for such tests at our own expense, so that, in addition to informing ourselves, these tests may be compared against any findings of government.

I, personally, have already had a test for soluble metals conducted on a Greater Geelong rainwater sample I collected - please find a copy of the lab analysis results included with this letter - I have filled in and highlighted the more alarming results as compared against the CSIRO report established general norms in the table below:

Metal	CSIRO Report* Norm (µg/L)	CSIRO Report* Norm (mg/L)	My Sample Result μg/L or mg/L
			(Circle correct units)
Aluminium	< 50	< 0.05	
Barium	N/A	N/A	
Strontium	< 50	< 0.05	
Boron	< 100	< 0.1	
Manganese	< 50	< 0.05	

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;New insights to the chemical and isotopic composition of rainfall across Australia" (June 2012) by R.Crosbie, CSIRO

Please do all that you can on behalf of the people of Greater Geelong to bring this matter to the attention of Parliament so that public resources might be allocated for the purpose outlined above.

This is a generic letter written on behalf of a growing number of Greater Geelong residents including myself, concerned about what we perceive to be happening in our skies of late, and the effect this may be having on the quality of our air/rainwater, not to mention the general health and well-being of those who reside under same skies.

In particular we would like to draw your attention to eyewitness accounts of *extensive aerosol spraying* from jets, commercial and/or otherwise, and its effects, of which those documented at ChemtrailsGeelong.com for example, are typical. Specifically we are concerned about the possible use of nano-particulates of oxides, salts and elemental forms of toxic metals such as Aluminium, Barium and Strontium for example, in the observed aerosol spraying. Please refer carefully to said website for an idea of the substance of our concerns.

Simply stated, we wish to be assured or confirmed one way or the other about this issue, and we trust you will agree, the only way to go beyond mere speculation is to conduct proper tests according to sound scientific principles.

We note that the CSIRO has published a report entitled "New insights to the chemical and isotopic composition of rainfall across Australia" (June 2012) detailing compositional analysis of rainwater samples taken from a wide variety of locations across Australia, and conducted over a period of five years from 2007 to 2011 inclusive. May we suggest that the same tests (in particular those for rainwater *chemistry* only), be conducted over a similar length of time, but this time with the inclusion of Barium also as an analyte and Greater Geelong specifically as the location, and with results published quarterly online for easy and regular access and perusal by the Greater Geelong public.

Critically, a corresponding and ongoing test could also be conducted by the EPA for air quality.

Perhaps other Victorian regions could also be covered. The general area of Gippsland for example, is another part of Victoria we are concerned about.

The above mentioned CSIRO document makes it clear that at least in so far as rainwater testing goes the collection equipment is particularly simple and inexpensive (see pg. 11), the task not labour intensive, and our own inquiries into the (NATA accredited) lab end of the process reveal that this too is not a prohibitively expensive procedure for tests for soluble metals. In fact many of us intend to collect our own samples, and obtain and publish such test results for them at our own expense, so that, in addition to informing ourselves, these tests may be compared against any findings of government.

Please do all that you can on behalf of the people of Greater Geelong and Victoria in general to bring this matter to the attention of Parliament and to facilitate the allocation of public resources for the purpose outlined above.

This is a generic letter written on behalf of a growing number of Greater Geelong residents including myself, concerned about what we perceive to be happening in our skies at the moment and the effect this may be having on the quality of our air/rainwater, not to mention the health and well-being of those who reside under same skies.

In particular we would like to draw your attention to eyewitness accounts of *extensive* aerosol spraying from jets, commercial and/or otherwise, and its effects, of which those documented at ChemtrailsGeelong.com for example, are typical. Specifically we are concerned about the possible use of oxides, salts and elemental forms of toxic metals such as Aluminium, Barium and Strontium for example, in the observed aerosol spraying. Please refer carefully to said website for an idea of the substance of our concerns.

Simply stated, we wish to be assured or confirmed one way or the other about this issue, and we trust you will agree, *the only way to go beyond mere speculation is to conduct proper tests according to sound scientific principles.* 

We note that the CSIRO has published a report entitled "New insights to the chemical and isotopic composition of rainfall across Australia" (June 2012) detailing compositional analysis of rainwater samples taken from a wide variety of locations across Australia, and conducted over a period of five years from 2007 to 2011 inclusive. May we suggest that the same tests (in particular those for rainwater *chemistry* only), be conducted over a similar length of time, but this time with the inclusion of Barium also as an analyte and Greater Geelong specifically as the location, and with results published quarterly online for easy and regular access and perusal by the Greater Geelong public.

Critically, a corresponding and ongoing test could also be conducted by the EPA for air quality.

Perhaps other Victorian regions could also be covered. The general area of Gippsland for example, is another part of Victoria we are concerned about.

The above mentioned CSIRO document makes it clear that at least in so far as rainwater testing goes the collection equipment is particularly simple and inexpensive (see pg. 11), the task not labour intensive, and our own inquiries into the (NATA accredited) lab end of the process reveal that this too is not a prohibitively expensive procedure for tests for soluble metals. In fact many of us intend to collect our own rainwater samples, and obtain and publish the results for such tests at our own expense, so that, in addition to informing ourselves, these tests may be compared against any findings of government.

I, personally, have already had a test for soluble metals conducted on a Greater Geelong rainwater sample I collected - please find a copy of the lab analysis results included with this letter - I have filled in and highlighted the more alarming results as compared against the CSIRO report established general norms in the table below:

Metal	CSIRO Report* Norm (µg/L)	CSIRO Report* Norm (mg/L)	My Sample Result μg/L or mg/L (Circle correct units)
Aluminium	< 50	< 0.05	
Barium	N/A	N/A	
Strontium	< 50	< 0.05	
Boron	< 100	< 0.1	
Manganese	< 50	< 0.05	

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;New insights to the chemical and isotopic composition of rainfall across Australia" (June 2012) by R.Crosbie, CSIRO

Please do all that you can on behalf of the people of Greater Geelong and Victoria in general to bring this matter to the attention of Parliament and to facilitate the allocation of public resources for the purpose outlined above.